

The New Competitive Edge: Learning Power

The Learning Power of Firms

Executive Summary

Yves Doz¹ and Soumitra Dutta²

For most of modern management history, competitive advantage came from what a firm knew and what it could do. Today, what matters most is how the firm learns — and how quickly that learning translates into action. At Amazon, millions of pricing and recommendation decisions update in real time as customers interact with the platform. At Netflix, every viewing interaction immediately refines the next recommendation. At Stripe, every transaction sharpens fraud detection across the entire network. What distinguishes these organizations is not the sophistication of their systems alone. It is that those systems learn continuously, everywhere, at machine speed — and compound that learning into durable competitive advantage.

This paper introduces the concept of learning power: the organizational ability to generate, propagate, and apply learning at the density, velocity, scale, and directionality required to sustain continuous competitive advantage. It argues that the firms leading in the AI era are not those with the most advanced technology. They are those who have turned their entire organization into a learning system — and who govern that system so it learns in the right direction.

Four Dimensions of Learning Power

Learning density is how much insight an organization extracts from each interaction. A customer transaction, a service call, or an operational event can be both a completed task and a source of learning that improves future behavior. Amazon's architecture exemplifies high density: a single customer interaction simultaneously feeds recommendation models, pricing algorithms, fulfillment decisions, and inventory planning. Nothing is wasted. Every action teaches. Low-density organizations, by contrast, generate large volumes of activity but little learning — data exists but is fragmented, signals are captured but not integrated. Low density is not a data problem. It is an architectural one.

Learning velocity is how quickly insight becomes changed behavior. In high-velocity organizations, the gap between learning and action is compressed to near zero. At Netflix, engagement signals are incorporated into recommendation systems within the same session. At Stripe, a fraud pattern detected in one geography immediately adjusts decisions in another. In most traditional organizations, the limiting factor is not a lack of insight — it is the delay between knowing and doing. High velocity requires real-time data flows, automated decision pipelines, and the organizational discipline to act on signals before they expire.

Learning scale is the number of learning processes an organization can run simultaneously. Traditional organizations are constrained by human cognitive capacity. AI removes that constraint. At Booking.com, thousands of experiments run continuously across search results, pricing, and

¹ Solvay Chaired Professor of Technology Innovation Emeritus, INSEAD, France

² Director, Portulans Institute, Washington DC and Former Founding Dean, SC Johnson College of Business, Cornell University, New York, USA

user interfaces. At Alphabet, models improve across products, markets, and geographies in parallel. Scale changes not just the volume but the nature of learning — organizations can discover patterns and test strategies at a breadth and speed that human organizations could never achieve.

Learning directionality is the alignment of learning objectives with strategic intent and organizational values. A learning system optimizes relentlessly against whatever objective it has been given. When that objective is well-specified, fast learning is a powerful advantage. When it is incomplete or misaligned, fast learning accelerates in the wrong direction. Dynamic pricing can erode trust if optimized narrowly for short-term revenue. Recommendation systems can amplify harmful content when engagement is the only metric. Supply chains can optimize cost at the expense of resilience. The failure in each case is not a failure of learning. It is a failure of direction.

Together these four dimensions define learning power: $\text{Density} \times \text{Velocity} \times \text{Scale} \times \text{Directionality}$. They are multiplicative, not additive. A firm with high density but low velocity learns accurately but too slowly. One with high scale but low directionality learns quickly toward the wrong outcomes. The firms pulling ahead combine all four: extracting insight from every interaction, translating it fast into changed behavior, doing so across thousands of simultaneous processes, and remaining oriented toward what the organization genuinely values.

The Learning Surface

In traditional organizations, learning was concentrated in designated units — R&D teams, strategy groups, post-mortems, planning cycles. It was episodic, structured, and scarce. In AI-enabled organizations, learning is distributed across the entire operating surface of the firm. Every interaction over the learning surface — every customer conversation, every transaction, every logistics event — becomes a source of feedback that can improve future behavior.

This distributed architecture is what makes high density, velocity, and scale simultaneously achievable. Organizations like Uber (routing and pricing updated with every trip), Tesla (autonomous driving models updated with every mile driven), and Booking.com (user interface continuously refined through live experimentation) have converted their operations into learning surfaces. The implication for leaders is architectural: the question is not whether the organization has a learning program, but whether the organization has been designed so that learning is ubiquitous and immediate.

Governing the Learning System

Greater learning power creates a powerful capability and a dangerous risk. Left unconstrained, learning systems optimize relentlessly — but not necessarily toward outcomes the organization values. The solution is not to slow learning. It is to govern it.

Leading firms combine speed with control. Capital One requires that AI models meet thresholds for fairness, stability, and explainability before they influence customer decisions. Netflix introduces changes incrementally and monitors performance in real time, with automatic rollback when results fall outside acceptable ranges. Amazon builds system architecture that contains failures, limits cascade effects, and prevents local optimizations from destabilizing the broader system. In each case, the organization is not reducing its capacity to learn. It is shaping the direction and conditions under which learning occurs — through what the paper calls the strategic envelope: defined boundaries within which learning systems are free to adapt and improve, but beyond which human judgment must intervene.

What Leadership Now Requires

As learning becomes embedded in systems leaders can no longer oversee the millions of decisions their systems make every day. The questions they must ask change accordingly.

The central leadership task shifts from making decisions to designing and governing the systems that learn and decide. That means asking where learning is actually happening in the organization and where signals are being missed; how quickly learning is translating into changed behavior; and where the system might be drifting in ways that undermine strategy or values. These are not technical questions to be delegated to engineering teams. They are strategic questions about how the organization performs and evolves over time.

What remains irreducibly human is the determination of purpose. Systems optimize efficiently toward their objectives. They cannot determine whether those objectives are worth pursuing. A recommendation system cannot judge whether the engagement it maximizes is good for users or for society. A pricing system cannot define fairness. A risk model cannot decide what level of uncertainty is acceptable. Leaders must define the objectives, set the boundaries, and ensure the organization's learning remains legitimate. In the age of AI, human judgment is not replaced. It is elevated — because the consequences of getting the objectives wrong now compound at machine speed.

Competitive advantage in the AI era no longer belongs to the firms with the best plans, the strongest positions, or the most resources. It belongs to those whose organizations learn most powerfully — and whose leaders know how to direct, govern, and sustain that learning. The new competitive edge is learning power.

Disclosure: AI was used for research and copy editing in preparing this article.